



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,951	07/31/2001	David Alland	96700/680	3177

7590 02/20/2004

Elie H. Gendloff, Ph.D., Esq.
AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN
90 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016

EXAMINER

SWARTZ, RODNEY P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1645

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/918,951

Applicant(s)

ALLAND ET AL.

Examiner

Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25November2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 46-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 47 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 46 and 48-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 46-52 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' Response to Office Action, received 25 November 2003, is acknowledged.

Claims 46 and 51 have been amended. New Claim 52 has been added.

2. Claims 46-52 are pending. Claim 47 has been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

3. Claims 46 and 48-52 are under consideration.

Rejections/Objections Withdrawn

4. The objection to claim 51 for not beginning with a capital letter is withdrawn in light of the amendment of the claims.

5. The rejection of claims 46, and 48-51 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "the", is withdrawn in light of the amendment of the claims.

6. The rejection of claims 46, and 48-51 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, scope of enablement for **all** *iniB* promoters of all origins, is withdrawn in light of the amendment of the claims.

Rejections Maintained

7. The rejection of claims 46 and 48-51 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for the source of the promoter, and for "transforming" a vector construct, is maintained for reasons of record.

Applicants argue that the amendment of the claims limits the claims to use of a *M. tuberculosis* *iniB* promoter and not all *iniB* promoters.

Art Unit: 1645

The examiner has considered applicants' argument concerning the source of the *iniB* promoter, and finds it persuasive.

The examiner has considered applicants' argument, but does not find it persuasive for "transforming" a vector construct. The newly amended claims now recite "transforming, into a mycobacterium, a vector construct comprising a nucleotide sequence of a *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* *iniB* promoter inserted into a plasmid". This amendment does not clarify how a vector is "transformed", only what the vector comprises.

8. The rejection of claims 46, 48, and 51 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, is maintained for reasons of record.

Applicants argue that a particular method of measuring induction is an essential step that is required to have a complete claim.

The examiner has considered applicants' argument, but does not find it persuasive. The claims lack any method of induction. Therefore, how is one to ascertain when induction occurs?

New Rejections Necessitated by Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claims 46 and 48-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The newly amended claims now recite that the vector construct comprises "a" nucleotide sequence of a *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* *iniB* promoter. The descriptor "a" is unclear. Does it

Art Unit: 1645

refer to the entire nucleotide sequence of the promoter, or "a" subsequence. Newly added claim 52 describes only the iniB promoter, and does not clarify what is meant by "a nucleotide sequence of" the promoter.

Conclusion

11. No claim are allowed.

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. This application contains claim 47 drawn to a nonelected invention. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0865.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM EST.

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (571)272-0864.



RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1645

February 16, 2004